# **Resolution ICC-ASP/6/Res.1**

Adopted at the 7<sup>th</sup> plenary meeting, on 14 December 2007, by consensus

#### ICC-ASP/6/Res.1 Permanent premises

#### The Assembly of States Parties,

*Recalling* its resolution ICC-ASP/4/Res.2, which emphasized that "the Court is a permanent judicial institution and as such requires functional permanent premises to enable the Court to discharge its duties effectively and to reflect the significance of the Court for the fight against impunity" and recommended, "bearing in mind the recommendation of the Committee contained in paragraph 86 of its report on the work of its fifth session (ICC-ASP/4/27), that the Bureau of the Assembly and the Committee remain seized of the matter and report to the fifth session of the Assembly of States Parties on the issue of permanent premises of the Court",<sup>1</sup>

*Further recalling* its resolution ICC-ASP/5/Res.1, which requested that "the International Criminal Court should now focus on option 3 only, purpose-built premises on the Alexanderkazerne site, with a view to allowing the Assembly to take an informed decision at its next session",

*Recalling* that resolution ICC-ASP/5/Res.1 requested the Court to "finish preparing in the shortest possible time a detailed functional brief that would include its user and security requirements reflecting scalability in terms of staffing levels"; "prepare, in consultation with the host State, cost estimates for the project"; and "prepare, in consultation with the host State, a provisional timetable with key decision points, a summary of planning and permit issues, and a planning strategy for the site showing possible modular approaches to scalability",

*Further recalling* that resolution ICC-ASP/5/Res.1 requested the host State, "in order to allow a review by the Committee on Budget and Finance at its eighth session in 2007, to provide further information on the financial and land offers contained in the further host State bid, including the possible options and methods for managing the proposed loan, any legal issues concerning the separation of ownership of the land and the proposed buildings and other issues that would be subject to a contract between the host State and the Court" and, "in consultation with the Bureau and the Court, to propose the framework, criteria, legal parameters and modalities for an international architectural concept design competition, including any pre-selection criteria and process",

*Recalling* that resolution ICC-ASP/5/Res.1 requested the Bureau to "review the information" prepared by the Court and the host State and "identify any gaps or other concerns to the Court and the host State so that the information is completed to the required level" and requested the Bureau, "in consultation with the Court and the host State, to prepare options for a governance structure for the project that would specify the respective roles and responsibilities of the Assembly, the Court and the host State" and to "prepare options for effective participation by the Assembly of States Parties in the project governance and oversight structures",

*Noting* that the aforementioned documentation has been prepared and reviewed by the Bureau,

Recognizing the important role of the Court throughout the process,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Official Records of the Assembly of States Parties to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Fourth session, The Hague, 28 November to 3 December 2005 (International Criminal Court publication, ICC-ASP/4/32), part III.

*Noting* that the number of workstations that may be approved by the Assembly for the permanent premises does not imply that the Assembly has agreed to a specific staffing level for the Court, which will be decided annually by the Assembly,

*Mindful* of the reports of the Committee on Budget and Finance on the work of its eighth and ninth sessions, and particularly paragraph 92 of the report of the ninth session,

*Noting* that the construction costs of the project comprising the costs of the materials, labour, fixtures, landscaping and parking are estimated to be no more than  $\pounds$ 15 million at the 2007 price level and that the overall construction costs, which include a contingency reserve, fees for the consultants and contractors, pre-tender and post-tender inflation, any fees for permits and dues and a fund for integrated, specialized representational features,<sup>2</sup> are currently estimated to be no more than  $\pounds$ 190 million at the 2014 price level,

*Further noting* that these estimates are made on the basis of the permanent premises consisting of three courtrooms with a total gross floor area of up to 46,000 square metres and up to 1,200 workstations,

*Noting* that the preceding estimate is exclusive of the costs related to the Project Director's Office, costs of financing the project and costs that are related to the project but not related directly to construction, such as the costs of relocating the Court from the temporary premises to the permanent premises (which includes moving, storage, and cleaning of the new site to make it ready for use), moveable items such as furniture and ICT hardware, potted greenery and decorations, costs relating to communications and public relations for the project and costs relating to the interim premises,

*Affirming* that the Assembly will decide on the ultimate cost envelope to be authorized for the project on the basis of more detailed estimates following the architectural design competition,

*Having the firm intention* to house the Court in its permanent premises no later than 2014 and earlier if possible,

1. *Decides* that the permanent premises of the International Criminal Court should be constructed on the Alexanderkazerne site;

2. *Further decides* that, for the purposes of the architectural design competition, the construction  $\cos^3$  of the permanent premises should not exceed  $\bigcirc 103$  million at the 2007 price level;<sup>4</sup>

3. *Accepts* those elements of the offer of the host State contained in the letter dated 25 January 2006 from the Minister of Foreign Affairs of the host State to the President of the Assembly of States Parties<sup>5</sup> relating to the provision of the land of the Alexanderkazerne site free of charge for the construction of purpose-built premises; relating to the covering of the costs of preparing the site for construction; and relating to the bearing of the costs associated with the selection of an architect;

4. *Authorizes* the host State to launch immediately an architectural design competition in accordance with annex I to this resolution;

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such as large sculptures, mosaics or other large pieces integrated into the architecture, facades or landscaping.
 <sup>3</sup> Comprising the costs of the materials, labour, fixtures, landscaping and parking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This figure represents 90 per cent of the estimated construction costs of  $\in 115$  million. It is standard practice not to provide the total estimated amount when launching the competition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Official Records of the Assembly of States Parties to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, resumed fourth session, New York, 26-27 January 2006 (International Criminal Court publication, ICC-ASP/4/37), annex IV.

5. *Decides* to establish an Oversight Committee of States Parties as a subsidiary body of the Assembly to provide strategic oversight for the project in accordance with annex II to this resolution;

6. *Requests* the Oversight Committee to:

- (a) Continue consideration of options for financing the construction of the permanent premises and related costs, including the compatibility of these options with the Financial Regulations and Rules of the Court, with a particular focus on the offer contained in the letter dated 25 January 2006 from the Minister of Foreign Affairs of the host State to the President of the Assembly of States Parties in order to provide recommendations to the Assembly at its next session;
- (b) Continue identifying and clarifying the estimated overall construction costs of the project with a view to providing recommendations on the cost envelope to the Assembly at its next session;
- (c) Continue identifying and quantifying the other costs related to the project; and
- (d) Continuously monitor the functioning and operations of the governance structure for the project and, if necessary, provide recommendations to the Assembly on any adjustments that may be required;

7. *Decides* to establish a Project Board to provide a consultative and cooperative tripartite structure with the Project Director having final responsibility for the overall management of the project in accordance with annex III to this resolution;

8. *Requests* the Registrar of the International Criminal Court to establish a Project Director's Office in accordance with annex IV to this resolution;

9. *Authorizes* the Oversight Committee to identify and hire a Project Director in accordance with annex II to this resolution;

10. *Decides*, as an extraordinary measure, to establish major programme VII (Project Director's Office) with a budget of  $\notin$ 208,500 in order to establish the Project Director's Office, hire a Project Director and staff and cover other costs associated with the premises project, identified in annex V to this resolution;

11. *Requests* the Registrar to establish a permanent premises construction trust fund for the permanent premises construction project in accordance with annex VI to this resolution;

12. *Requests* the Bureau to remain seized of this issue and report back to the Assembly at its next session.

13. *Adopts* the current resolution and annexes thereto.

# Annex I

# Architectural design competition

1. The Assembly of States Parties hereby authorizes the Netherlands as host State to launch an architectural design competition for the permanent premises of the International Criminal Court as follows.

## I. Parameters for the architectural design competition

### (a) Costs

2. For the purpose of the architectural design competition the construction costs of the permanent premises should not exceed  $\bigcirc 103$  million (2007 prices). Construction costs consist of the costs of materials and labour for the structure, services (technical installations and equipment), ICT cabling (CAT 6), landscaping and parking facilities. This above-mentioned sum does not include a contingency reserve, funds for integrated, specialized representational features, fees for all consultancies such as architects, landscape architects, interior architects, technical engineers, project management, and supervision, permits and dues, price increases to 2014, valued added taxes or financing costs.

### (b) **Overall area**

3. The overall size of the premises should not exceed 46,000 square metres gross and should include three courtrooms and 1,200 workstations as described in the summary of user requirements. This overall figure does not include parking, which should allow for 600 parking spaces on the site.

## II. Summary of user requirements

4. Five spatial clusters will be predominant at the permanent premises: Judiciary (Presidency and Chambers), Office of the Prosecutor, Registry (including the Secretariat of the Assembly of States Parties and other offices with minor space requirements, e.g. office for the Staff Representative Body), as well as the Entrance and Conference Cluster and the Courtroom Cluster.

5. The complexity of the spatial arrangements lies in the fact that the organization is a criminal court with the different organs having distinct responsibilities. In turn, matters concerning the entire organization, such as administration, require close cooperation.

6. The spatial arrangement of the clusters to each other is therefore defined by both the required spatial proximities as well as the required spatial separation. Furthermore, security requirements are fulfilled by establishing four zones with different levels of security.

7. Activities of the Court during hearings are mainly concentrated in the Courtroom and Entrance Clusters. In addition to those who work at the Court, defendants, counsel, witnesses, victims, States, journalists, non-governmental organizations, visitors and numerous other groups will use the premises.

8. The requirements defined in the functional brief for spatial arrangements, separation and qualities aim at ensuring that work processes are efficient and run smoothly for all participants while not compromising the statutory demands.

9. As regards the work done before and after hearings, including all other supporting activities, this will largely take place at the desk and in front of computers. For most of the activities the double office represents the ideal office form, since it allows a combination of communication and work that requires concentration, and meets the requirement concerning the handling of confidential material. Team offices were selected for some areas which demand a high level of teamwork.

10. The size of the standard double office is defined as 19 square metres (net). In addition, four different standard sizes for single offices are defined, ranging from 10 to 30 square metres (net). The objective here is to ensure great flexibility in usage by having a limited number of office standards. Meeting rooms are generally assigned to the functional units because they are used as core working areas in the sense of a project room. Larger meeting rooms are pooled in the Conference Cluster and can be reserved.

11. A summary of the user requirements is contained in appendix I.

12. For the purpose of the architectural design competition a detailed competition brief containing the user requirements and technical specifications will be prepared based on the parameters of this resolution and annex.

# III. Legal bases

13. The architectural design competition will be based on the World Trade Organization Agreement on Government Procurement, as approved by the European Union.

14. The procedure will be based on the general principle of fair, non-discriminatory, equal and transparent treatment, as laid down in the above mentioned World Trade Organization Agreement. The competition will be open to architects from all States.

# IV. Structure

15. The competition will be organized with a pre-selection of qualified candidates, followed by a one-phase competition to determine the three best design concepts. Following the selection of the three best design concepts by the jury, the Project Board may invite the prize-winners to revise, if considered necessary, their design concepts and then, either simultaneously or in decreasing order starting with the winner of the first prize, commence negotiation of the terms and conditions of a contract to prepare detailed designs for the permanent premises.

# V. Worldwide announcement

16. The architectural design competition will have a worldwide dissemination and will be announced by means of:

- (a) Official press releases via the leading press offices in the five geographical regions of the United Nations;
- (b) Advertisements in the leading architectural magazines around the world; and
- (c) A dedicated website of the host State with a link to the website of the International Criminal Court.

17. States Parties may also wish to generate publicity for the competition in their respective countries. The host State will provide a template for this purpose.

18. Architects from different regions and schools will be encouraged to apply.

### VI. Competition procedure

- 19. The competition consists of two consecutive stages:
  - (a) <u>Pre-selection stage (Call for candidature)</u>
    From the entries in response to the worldwide announcement the jury will select up to 20 candidates based on professional and quality-oriented selection criteria to take part in the competition.
  - (b) <u>The competition (Award stage)</u> The selected candidates will receive the competition brief containing all the information necessary to enable the candidates to provide a design concept.

The selected candidates will be asked to produce a design concept for the permanent premises. From the design concepts submitted, the jury will select three prize-winners, based on the best design concepts that are most suitable for this project. The jury may also offer recommendations for changes to designs.

20. The criteria for the selection will be laid down in the competition brief, which will be handed out exclusively to the participating candidates. The competition will be anonymous until the completion of the jury's deliberations and selection.

21. The official language of the competition will be English.

### VII. Negotiations

22. Following the selection of the best three designs by the jury, the Project Board may invite the prize-winners to revise, if considered necessary, and taking into account any recommendations from the jury, their design concepts. After having examined and evaluated the (revised) design concepts, the Project Board will commence negotiation of the terms and conditions of a contract to prepare detailed designs for the permanent premises with the prize-winners either simultaneously, or in decreasing order starting with the winner of the first prize.

23. The aim of the negotiations is to prepare for signing a contract with the architect as leader of the design team (which will include the work of the expert engineers e.g. structural, civil and building services engineers, energy consultants, landscape architects etc.).

### VIII. Approval by the Assembly

24. The selection of the three best design concepts by the jury and the commencement of negotiations with the prize-winners by the Project Board should not be construed as implicit authorization by the Assembly to finalize the general planning or detailed design contract. The Assembly reserves the right not to proceed with the project without penalty or commitment prior to the signing of the contracts. The Assembly or the Oversight Committee must authorize the signing of the contracts.

### IX. Jury

25. The entries in the pre-selection stage and the design concepts in the competition stage will be examined and judged by an independent jury.

26. The jury for the competition will execute the judgement and proofing of the entries, and decide on the final ranking of the design concepts (award of prizes) and make recommendations on the designs.

27. The composition of the jury will be as described in appendix II to this annex.

28. The jury will have a secretariat and a technical advisory team in specific fields (such as spatial planning, financial and technical issues) at its disposal. The advice of this technical advisory team is not binding on the jury.

### X. Schedule

29. The schedule for the architectural design competition is as follows:

(a)	Call for candidature (start)	February 2008
(b)	Pre-selection stage	March-April 2008
(c)	Jury meeting to pre-select a maximum of 20	April 2008
(d)	The competition	May-July 2008
(e)	Pre-examination	August-September 2008
(f)	Jury meeting selection of the top three design concepts	October 2008
(g)	Optional revision/negotiation phase with the prize-winners	November-December 2008
(h)	Negotiation contract terms	January 2009

# Appendix I

# Summary of the user requirements

Cluster Office	m² gross
Judiciary	3746
Office of the Prosecutor	7608
Registry	19095
Secretariat ASP	1149
Internal Audit Section	187
Staff Represent. Body	52
<b>Conference Cluster</b>	1840
Catering Cluster	2234
Courtroom Cluster	2716
Public Court Areas	2402
Holding Cluster	693
Entrance Cluster	698
Warehouse, Central Storage	3132
Total	45552

# **Appendix II**

# **Composition of the jury**\*

- 1) Chief Government Architect of the Netherlands (Chair) Mr. Mels Crouwel
- Representative of the Assembly, African States H.E. Ms. Mirjam Blaak Ambassador, Deputy Head of Mission Embassy of Uganda, Belgium
- Representative of the Assembly, Asian States Mr. Kiyokazu Ota Minister Embassy of Japan, the Netherlands
- 4) Representative of the Assembly, Eastern European States H.E. Mr. Calin Fabian Ambassador Embassy of Romania, the Netherlands
- Representative of the Assembly, Latin American and Caribbean States H.E. Mr. Gilbert Chauny de Porturas-Hoyle Ambassador Embassy of Peru, the Netherlands
- Representative of the Assembly, Western European and Other States H.E. Mr. Mikko Jokela Ambassador Embassy of Finland, the Netherlands
- 7) Representative of the Court (Judiciary) [To be determined by the Court]
- 8) Representative of the Court (Office of the Prosecutor) [To be determined by the Court]
- 9) Representative of the Court (Registry) [To be determined by the Court]
- 10) Representative of the host State Secretary-General for Foreign Affairs
- 11) Representative of the Municipality of The Hague Mayor of The Hague

# 12) Architect\*\*

[To be determined]

13) Architect\*\*

[To be determined]

14) Architect\*\*

[To be determined]

<sup>\*</sup> Each individual jury member or group of jury members, other than the architects, shall communicate to the Chief Government Architect of the Netherlands the name of an alternate or alternates prior to the first meeting of the jury.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> The architects and their alternates will be determined by the Chief Government Architect of the Netherlands on the basis of professional qualifications, international experience, regional diversity and gender balance.

15) Architect<sup>\*\*</sup>

[To be determined]

16) Architect<sup>\*\*</sup>

[To be determined]

17) Architect\*\*

[To be determined]

# Annex II

# **Oversight Committee**

### Establishment

1. An Oversight Committee of States Parties is hereby established as a subsidiary body of the Assembly of States Parties pursuant to article 112, paragraph 4, of the Rome Statute.

#### Mandate

2. The mandate of the Oversight Committee shall be to provide a standing body to act on behalf of the Assembly in the construction of the permanent premises of the International Criminal Court. The role of the Oversight Committee will be strategic oversight, with routine management of the project resting with the Project Director.

- 3. Specifically, the Oversight Committee shall:
  - (a) Provide overall monitoring and oversight of the project to ensure that project objectives are achieved within budget, and that risks and issues are identified and managed;
  - (b) Prepare information, recommendations and draft resolutions for decision by the Assembly, including issues relating to operationalization of the governance structure;
  - (c) Within the authority delegated by the Assembly, make key strategic decisions including the authorization of changes to the project scope and objectives that are beyond the authority of the Project Director; and
  - (d) Resolve any issue referred by the Project Director, Court or host State.

### Membership

4. The Oversight Committee shall be a body consisting of 10 States Parties, with at least one member from each regional group.

#### Selection

5. Members of the Oversight Committee shall be elected by the Assembly upon recommendation of the Bureau. The duration of each term shall be two years and is renewable. If a State Party withdraws from the Oversight Committee, the Bureau may designate another State Party to fill the position until the next session of the Assembly of States Parties.

#### Consistency

6. States Parties members should strive to ensure consistency with respect to their representation and attendance at meetings. If an Oversight Committee member fails to attend two consecutive meetings, the Chairperson of the Oversight Committee shall initiate consultations with that member to determine if the member is able to continue its participation on the Oversight Committee.

### Voting

7. The Oversight Committee should strive for consensus. In the absence of consensus, decisions shall be taken on the basis of a simple majority of members present and voting. In the case of a tie, the Chairperson's vote shall be decisive. The phrase "members present and voting" means members present and casting an affirmative or negative vote. Members who abstain shall be considered as not voting.

#### Quorum

8. A quorum shall consist of at least six members.

#### Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson

9. The Oversight Committee shall elect a Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson for a two-year period. This term is renewable. The Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson shall each have a vote.

#### Frequency of meetings

10. The Oversight Committee shall meet four times a year or as required by the Chairperson. The Registrar of the Court, the host State or the Project Director can request a meeting of the Oversight Committee to address any urgent matter.

#### In camera deliberations

11. The Oversight Committee shall receive information from the Project Director, the Court and host State and may invite other experts and participants to provide information or input in open sessions. Deliberations by the Oversight Committee shall be in camera, unless the Chairperson decides otherwise.

#### Participation by non-members

12. The Court, the host State and other States Parties have the right to be present during the open sessions of the Oversight Committee.

#### Role of States Parties' experts

13. The Oversight Committee shall be assisted in its work by an ad hoc committee of experts from States Parties.

#### Role of the Committee on Budget and Finance

14. The Oversight Committee shall provide progress reports to the Committee on Budget and Finance prior to its meetings. The Oversight Committee shall submit to the Committee on Budget and Finance for advice any submissions with financial implications for the Assembly.

#### Role of the Bureau

15. The Oversight Committee shall provide regular status reports to the Bureau and shall submit any draft resolutions or information to the Assembly through the Bureau.

### Delegated authority

- 16. The Oversight Committee shall have the authority delegated from the Assembly to:
  - (a) Conduct a recruitment process for the position of Project Director;
  - (b) Decide on the hiring, renewal, non-renewal, suspension and termination of the Project Director (the Registrar of the Court and a representative of the host State have the right to participate and vote in this decision-making process);
  - (c) Where a decision is required in a time frame that would not allow for a decision by the Assembly, authorize any changes to the project scope, objectives, design or expenditures up to the limit of the contingency fund established as part of the project budget;
  - (d) Hear any serious dispute between the Court, the host State and/or Project Director, with a view to finding an efficient and effective resolution; and
  - (e) Authorize signature of major contracts on the recommendation of the Project Board.

17. The Chairperson of the Oversight Committee shall report to the Assembly at its next session on any exercise of this delegated authority.

#### Support

18. The Oversight Committee shall be assisted by the Secretariat of the Assembly of States Parties.

# Annex III

# **Project Board**

1. The Assembly of States Parties hereby establishes a Project Board with the mandate to provide a cooperative and consultative structure for the overall management of the permanent premises construction project.

2. The Board will be chaired by the Project Director and will include:

- (a) The Court, and
- (b) The host State

3. The Project Director will share all relevant information on the project with the Court and host State and shall ensure that project information is accessible.

4. The Project Director will consult with the Court and the host State and shall strive for consensus on decisions relating to the project. In the absence of consensus, the Project Director has the authority to make decisions. However, the Project Director is not authorized to make decisions that could affect the overall scope or cost envelope of the project.

5. Any member of the Project Board may ask for a meeting of the Oversight Committee pursuant to paragraphs 10 and 16(d) of annex II.

# Annex IV

# **Project Director's Office**

### Establishment

1. The Registrar of the International Criminal Court shall establish a Project Director's Office. The Project Director will be the head of the Office.

#### Independence

2. The Project Director's Office shall operate under the full authority of the Assembly of States Parties and report directly and be accountable to the Assembly through the Oversight Committee.

#### Relationship to the International Criminal Court

3. Without prejudice to paragraph 2 above, the Project Director's Office shall be an integral part of the International Criminal Court; for administrative and staff purposes, the Project Director's Office and its staff shall be attached to the Registry of the Court.

#### Privileges and immunities

4. As part of the staff of the Registry and, as such, of the Court, the staff of the Project Director's Office shall enjoy the same rights, duties, privileges, immunities and benefits.

#### Mandate

5. The mandate of the Project Director's Office is to ensure that the permanent premises of the Court are built on time, within cost and to specifications and quality. The Project Director shall have the final responsibility for the overall management of the project and shall be responsible for meeting the project's goals, timelines and costs and quality requirements.

### Functions

6. The functions of the Project Director's Office shall be to manage the entire project, which would include, inter alia:

- (a) Provision of day-to-day oversight of the preparations and implementation of the permanent premises project;
- (b) Provision of strategic direction to the project management, construction and design teams;
- (c) Preparation and implementation of a risk management plan for the project;
- (d) Assessment and evaluation of the designs, requests for modifications, cost implications, emerging problems, mitigation solutions or any other issues that may affect the cost, quality and/or timeliness of the project;
- (e) Provision of quarterly (or as required) status reports to the Oversight Committee which will be shared with the Court and the host State and shall be made available to the Bureau;
- (f) Leading the negotiations of the terms and conditions to retain the architect and the design team;

- (g) Leading the tendering and selection process for the construction team;
- (h) Making decisions within the authority delegated by the Assembly;
- (i) Provision of assessments and advice to the Oversight Committee on any issues requiring decisions within the delegated authority of the Committee; and
- (j) Provision of assessments and advice to the Oversight Committee on any issues requiring decisions by the Assembly.

### Composition of the Office

7. The Project Director's Office will consist of the Project Director and support staff.

# Annex V

# Programme budget implications for the 2008 budget for permanent premises

#### I. Staff resources

#### (a) One D-1 Project Director

The Project Director will have the overall responsibility for delivering the permanent premises on time, on costs and with the required quality. Comparisons with the local market in the Netherlands conducted by experts from the host State suggest that a D-1 level (including the tax and other benefits provided to staff of the International Criminal Court) would be competitive and allow for the recruitment of a sufficiently experienced professional. As the recruitment process will only commence in January 2008, a delayed recruitment factor of 50 per cent has been applied.

Cost for 2008: €93,800

#### (b) One P-4 Deputy Project Director and Financial Controller

The Project Director's Office must be involved in the negotiations with the architect and design teams in late fall 2008 following the decisions by the jury for the architectural design competition. A Deputy Project Director with solid financial experience in evaluating construction and design tenders will be essential. As the recruitment process will only start some time in 2008, a delayed recruitment factor of 75 per cent has been applied.

Cost for 2008: €33,050

#### (c) One GS-OL Office Assistant

The Project Director's Office will require one general administrative assistant to provide general administrative and secretarial services. As the recruitment process will only start some time in 2008, a delayed recruitment factor of 75 per cent has been applied.

Cost for 2008: €15,675

The Project Director will evaluate the need for further assistance for the 2009 budget. It is expected that the Project Director will work primarily through consultants, to be paid from the consultancy fees provided for in the overall construction cost estimates.

### II. Non-staff resources

(a) Regular IT

The Court estimates that each workstation requires €7,000 for hardware and software.

Cost for 2008: €21,000

(b) Specialized IT

The Project Director's Office may require specialized computer resources for the construction project.

Cost for 2008: €10,000

### III. Recruitment

It is expected that a competitive and specialized process may be required to recruit the Project Director. This could include the use of the Court's web page, advertisements in international, specialized journals and/or the use of a professional recruitment agency. The Oversight Committee will determine, in consultation with the Court, the host State and experts, the best means of launching a recruitment process.

Cost for 2008: €35,000

### **IV.** Cost implications

Total costs for 2008: €208,500

# Annex VI

# Permanent premises construction trust fund

#### Establishment

1. The Registrar of the International Criminal Court shall establish a trust fund for the purpose of holding funds dedicated to the construction of the permanent premises of the International Criminal Court.

#### Funds

2. The trust fund shall be funded by voluntary contributions from any governments, international organizations, individuals, corporations or other entities.

#### Reporting

3. The Project Director shall report to the Oversight Committee on a regular basis on the amount of funds in the trust fund and their provenance as well as on disbursements from the trust fund.

# Annex VII

# Members of the Oversight Committee

African States

1. South Africa

Asian States

- 2. Japan
- 3. Republic of Korea

Eastern European States

4. Poland

Group of Latin American and Caribbean States

- 5. Brazil
- 6. Mexico

Western European and Other States

- 7. Germany
- 8. Italy
- 9. Switzerland
- 10. United Kingdom