Cour Pénale Internationale

International Criminal Court

Ninth ICC-ASP Bureau Meeting October 15, 2007 Agenda and Decisions

1. Sixth session of the Assembly of States Parties

a) Facilitator for the omnibus resolution

The Bureau designated Mr. Marcelo Bohlke (Brazil) as facilitator for 2007, and Mr. Emmanuel Bichet (Switzerland) as facilitator for 2008.

b) Recommendations on the election of the Registrar

The President indicated that, by a letter dated 5 October 2007, the Presidency of the Court had submitted the names of ten candidates for the post of Registrar and had requested that the Assembly of States Parties convey any recommendations it may wish to make in accordance with article 43 of the Statute and rule 12 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (ICC-ASP/6/16).

In this connection, the Secretariat was entrusted with preparing, prior to the next meeting of the Bureau, draft recommendations/guidelines on criteria that might be used by the Assembly when considering its recommendations on the item.

c) Election of six members of the Committee on Budget and Finance

As there had been no developments on this matter since its prior meeting, the Bureau requested the Netherlands, Peru and South Africa to consult within their respective regional groups, with a view to obtaining the regional endorsements for the candidates to the Committee.

2. Amendments to Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims

The Bureau designated Mr. Sivu Maqungo (South Africa) as the focal point to undertake consultations on the proposed amendments to regulations 19 and 27 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims, which are contained in annex B of the Report of the Board of Directors of the Trust Fund for Victims (ICC-ASP/6/11).

3. Other matters

Oversight mechanism

As regards the issue of proposals for an oversight mechanism, the President informed the Bureau that the matter had been deferred for two reasons: (a) further to the 1 February discussion in the Bureau, no delegation had volunteered to assume the role of facilitator and (b) the Registrar had indicated that it would be preferable for the Court to await the outcome of ongoing consultations on a similar mechanism being considered at the United Nations.