INDEPENDENT EXPERT REVIEW: CATEGORIZATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMAINING ISSUES Prepared by the Review Mechanism					
s	Cluster (Sub)-Section	Assembly	Assembly & Court	Court	Comments
			I. GOV	'ERNANCE	
			A. Unified	l Governance	9
	1. Structure of the Court: (1) ICC/Court Governance, (2) ICC/IO Governance		Х		How these recommendations are treated will have an impact of a number of other recommendations in the IER report. These recommendations cover, among other things, the allocation of responsibilities and functions including in respect of the ASP. I is therefore important that the ASP as well as the Court should be involved in considering them.
	2. Decision-Making Process and Internal Legal Framework		х		Our comments for recommendations 1-7 apply also to these recommendations.
	3. Content of Internal Legal framework		х		
	4. Working Culture at the Court		X (Court likely to be leading on recs 16- 20)		The UK recognises the importance of improving the working culture of the Court. The primary responsibility for implementi these recommendations is likely to be for the Court. We recognise a specific role for the ASP in respect of recommendation 15. In terms of consideration of these recommendations the ASP has a role pursuant to its oversight function.

B. Chambers Governance (Working Environment and Culture, Structure, Management and Organisation

 Working Environment and Culture, 2. Chambers Structure and Organisation (1) Static and Dynamic Case Teams Led by référendaires (2) Specialised Pre-trial Team (3) Transferability of Case Teams (4) Role of Presiding Judges (5) Legal Staff Support to Judges, 3. Management in Chambers (1) Head of Chambers Staff (2) Legal Advisers to Divisions (3) Quality of Legal Support Staff and Professional Development (4) Administrative Assistants 	X - especially recommendations 27, 30 and 33. ASP involvement in consideration of recs across this section but likely Court lead in implementing the recs other than the three specified (21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37).	States should be involved in consideration of these proposals. The primary responsibility for implementing recs in this sub topic would likely be for the Court. However there are aspects that involve the ASP. For instance, recommendation 27 includes a proposal relating to the reclassification of positions. This reflects the role that States Parties play in approving the budget and in approving reclassifications.
---	--	---

C. OTP Governance				
1. The OTP Structure, 2. The OTP Regulatory Framework (1) Current OTP Regulatory Framework (2) Areas Not Addressed Under the Current Framework			Implementation would likely be primarily a matter for the Court. ASP to be kept informed/updated.	
3. OTP Management and Leadership Structures (1) Prosecutor and Deputy Prosecutor - <i>Roles of</i> <i>Prosecutor and Deputy Prosecutor,</i> <i>Issue of two Deputy Prosecutors</i>	48 (see comment)	46, 47	Implementation of recommendations 46 and 47 would likely be primarily a matter for the court. ASP to be kept informed/updated. The process for electing Deputy Prosecutors is set out at article 42 of the Statute - the list or lists of candidates are to be provided by the Prosecutor to be elected by States.	
3. (2) Executive Committee (ExCom)		49, 50, 51, 52,	Implementation likely primarily a matter for the Court.	

3. (3) Immediate Office of the Prosecutor (IOP) - <i>Chef de Cabinet,</i> <i>Public Information Unit (PIU)</i>	53, 54, 55, 56	Implementation likely primarily a matter for the Court.
3. (4) Integrated Teams	57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63	Implementation likely primarily a matter for the Court/OTP.
4. OTP Staffing (1) StaffQualifications4. (2) Quantity of Staff	68, 69, 70	Implementation likely primarily a matter for the Court. Implementation likely primarily a matter for the Court.

		D. Regis	stry Governance	
1. Election of the Registrar and Deputy Registrar	R76, R77, R78			Recommendations in this section are primarily a matter to be considered by the ASP. That said, the input and views of the Registrar and judges (given that they choose the Registrar) would be helpful. Likewise, seeking the views of the Court Presidency would be sensible given the Presidency/Registrar relationship under the Statute. We agree the decision is ultimately one for States.
2. Various Sections of the Registry		79 (see comment)	٥υ, ٥ι, ٥٤, ٥٥,	Primarily for the Registrar to take this forward this evaluation. Given the underlying subject matter touches on issues where the cooperation form States is of central importance it may be useful for States to participate in the consideration of the conclusions of the evaluation.
3. Field offices		84, but note comment regarding other recommendations herein	85, 86 - implementatio n for the Registry but may be useful for States to be involved in	Implementation of these recommendations would be primarily a matter for the Registry. However, may be useful for States to be involved in the discussion. Recommendation 84 relates to tenure policy and may, depending on how recommendation 105 is taken forward, form part of broader discussions on tenure policy in which States will have a role.

II. HUMAN RESOURCES

A. General; B. Working Environment and Culture, Staff Engagement, Staff Welfare; C. Bullying and Harassment;			
87, 88			

D. Management of Human Resources			
89, 90			

E. Adequacy of Human Resources - Recruitment; F. Short-Term Appointments, Local Recruitment			
03.05	01 02 04 06	Sensible to involve the ASP in discussion on recommendation 92.	
92, 95	91, 93, 94, 96	See also ASP role in approving reclassifications.	

G. Performance Appraisal			
97, 98			

H. Staff Training and Development		
99		

I. Multilingualism	
100	Interested in view of the Court and other States on the
100	categorisation of this recommendation.

J. Flexibility, Scalability and Mobility in Staffing				
 Internal Mobility 2. External Mobility 3. Secondments 	103 101, 102			
4. Tenure	105 10	04		

III. ETHICS AND PREVENTION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST		
A. Ethics Framework		
Court staff and/or officials,	Y	The ASP should play a key role in recommendations 108 and 109 given the detailed considerations that the ASP have given these
Individuals affiliated with the Court	~	matters in recent years. Any decision on 109 would likely be primarily for the ASP.

B. Prevention of Conflict of Interest			
110, 112, 113, 114	111		

IV. INTERNAL GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES				
A. General; B. Accountability of Judges				
1. Disciplinary Mechanisms and				
Complaints 2. Disciplinary Standards	omplaints 2. Disciplinary Standards			
3. A Readjusted Disciplinary	R124, R125	Х		
Arrangement 4. Judicial Council of				
ne Court				

V. BUDGET PROCESS		
A. Court Budget Process, B. Committee on	Budget and Finance (CBF) C. Enhancing	g Trialogue D. Assembly of States Parties E. Miscellaneous
	132, 133, 142 (see comments on these three). 134, 138	Implementation of recommendations 132 and 133 would likely be primarily for the Court - ASP part of budgetary process and so best part of preceding consideration/discussion. Recommendations 135, 136 and 137 are primarily for consideration by the ASP and CBF. Recommendation 139 is for consideration by the ASP alone. Recommendations 140, 141 and 143 are primarily for the ASP with Court views/input. Recommendation 142 would be for the Court to implement.

VI. PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND STRATEGIC PLANNING			
A. Efficiency B. Effectiveness			
X Recommendation 148 touches on ASP oversight function. X Recommendations 144-147 would be primarily for court with oversight of ASP.			

VII. EXTERNAL RELATIONS
A. Relations with the United Nations B. Role of the Court's New York Liaison Office to the UN (NYLO) Relations with UN Agencies and Other International and

v ·	These recommendations would be primarily for Court to
^	implement but ASP has an interest given role of NYLO

D. Relations with Civil Society and Media Organisations			
156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161,	ASP to be kept informed/updated.		
159, 160, 161,	As to be kept morned/upuated.		
162			

E. Communications Strategy F. Outreach Strategy		
163, 164, 165,	ASP to be kent informed (undated	
166, 167, 168	ASP to be kept informed/updated.	

G. External Political Measures against the Court		
169, 170		

		_	
OS	ΝЛ	· C	
111	IVI		
00			

 VIII. ELECTION OF THE PRESIDENCY

 173
 171, 172 (both judiciary)

IX. WORKING METHODS			
A. Induction and Continuing Professional Development			
 Induction Programme 2. Timing 3. Contents 4. Continuing Professional Development 	174, 175, 176, 177		

	B. Full-Time Service of New Juc	lges
Х		

C. Code of Judicial Ethics
101, 102, 103,
104

D. Judicial Collegiality	
105, 100, 107,	
100	

X. EFFICIENCY OF THE JUDICIAL PROCESS AND FAIR TRIAL RIGHTS

	A. Pre-Trial Stage	
1. Disclosure of Evidence 2.		
Confirmation of Charges 3. Length		Driver with fourths Count to incula month. Describle ACD value is if
of Pre-Trial Stage 4. Chambers	189-198	Primarily for the Court to implement. Possible ASP role i.e. if
Practice Manual and Judicial Case		RPE amendments are proposed under current procedure.
Management		

		B. Trial Stage	
 Transfer of the Case to Trial Division 2. No Case to Answer 3. Amicus Curiae 4. Evidence Admitted vs Submitted 5. Witness Preparation/Proofing 6. Prior Recorded Testimony and Live Testimony by Means of Audio or Video-Link Technology 7. Management of the Trial 8. Court Activities <i>in situ</i> and Site Visits 9. Brief Absence of a Judge 10. Technology in the Judicial Process (1) Case Law Database (2) Other Digital Resources and Legal Tools (3) Effect on the Defence and Legal Representatives of Victims 	206, 207	199-205, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212,	Any implementation of 199-205 and 208-212 would be primarily for the court.

C. Interlocutory Appeals
213

	D. Management of Transitions in the Judiciary
1. Continuing in Office on Expiry of	
Term 2. Designation of an Alternate Judge 3. Appointment of a Substitute Judge	

XI. DEVELOPMENT OF PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES TO PROMOTE COHERENT ANDACCESSIBLE JURISPRUDENCE AND DECISION-MAKING			
A. Standard of Review in Appeals B. Departure from Established Practice and Jurisprudence C. developing a Deliberation Culture D. Judgment Structure and			
Drafting E. Conflicts Between Different Legal Systems and Best Practices			
216, 217, 219,			
218 220, 221, 222,			
223, 224, 225			
218 220, 221, 222,			

OSM: OTP	XII. OTP SITUATIONS AND CASES: PROSECUTORIAL STRATEGIES OF SELECTION, PRIORITISATION, HIBERNATION AND CLOSURE		
	A. Initial Situation and Case Selection: Preliminary Examinations		
	 Situation Selection During Phase Situation Selection during PRs (Phases 2-4) (1) Narrower Standards for Admissibility (2) Feasibility Considerations in Situation Selection and Prioritisation 	X	Categorisation reflects policy issues. Independence of Prosecutor to be respected.

B. Selection and Prioritisation of Cases and Perpetrators		
 The Criteria for Case Selection and Prioritisation (1) The Policy in relation to Selecting and Charging Suspects (2) Defining a Case: Charging Practices (3) Case Prioritisation: Feasibility Issues 	Х	Categorisation reflects policy issues. Independence of Prosecutor to be respected.

2. The Process of Case Selection and	v	
Prioritisation	^	

C. Situation Prioritisation, Hibernation and Closure	
v	Categorisation reflects policy issues. Independence of
λ	Prosecutor to be respected.

XIII. PRELIMINARY EXAMINATIONS		
A. Concerns Related to Preliminary Examinations Section (PES)		
X		

B. Length of PE Activities, Time Limits	
X	Categorisation reflects policy issues. Independence of
	Prosecutor to be respected.

C. Complementarity and Positive Complementarity		
 Complementarity Assessments for Admissibility (Article 17) 2. Positive Complementarity 	X	Categorisation reflects policy issues. Independence of Prosecutor to be respected.

D. Transparency of Preliminary Examinations	
X	Categorisation reflects policy issues. Independence of
	Prosecutor to be respected.

XIV. INVESTIGATIONS	
A. Investigative Strategy	
Х	

B. Investigative Technique and Tools		
1. Cooperation for Evidence Collection	х	ASP role as described in recommendations 272 and 273

2. Cooperation Requests - JCCD		279-282	
International Cooperation Section		279-202	
3. Developing Technical Expertise	284, 289		Rec 285 refers to links to national law enforcement so
within the ID (1) Financial	primarily for	283, 286, 287,	worthwhile States being involved in respect of that
Investigations (2) Tracking and	ASP but Court 285, 290	288, 289, 291,	recommendation. Rec 290 has budgetary implication. Recs 284
Arrests of Fugitives (3) Remote	also imp part	292	and 289 identify ASP but given issues Court input would be
Investigations	of conversation		valuable.

C. ID Field Presence in Situation Countries		
293, 294, 295,		
296, 297, 298		

D. Evidence Assessment and Analysis	
X	Implementation is primarily for court. Recs 303 and 304 have
	potential cost implications to bear in mind re ASP role.

XV. OTP INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL MECHANISMS		
A. Evidence Reviews: Internal and Peer Review		
305, 306, 307,		
308, 309, 310		

B. Trial Monitoring	
311, 12	

C. Lessons Learnt	
515, 514, 515,	
316, 317, 318,	Implementation is primarily for court
210	

OSM: R	XVI. DEFENCE AND LEGAL AID		
	A. Institutional Representation		
	X ASP to be kept updated/informed.		

B. Legal Aid		
v	Need for ASP involvement cf references to ASP/States in recs	
X	328 and 332.	

X	/II. VICTIM PARTICIPATION
A. Outline of the System B. The System in Operation C. Reco	ognition of Victims as Participants D. Concerns about the System as a Whole E. Legal
Representation of Vict	ims F. Tracing Victims in the Reparations Phase
Х	Some issues herein are primarily for the Court cf recommendation 340. More broadly, these are important systemic issues so good to collaborate.
XVIII. VICTII	MS: REPARATIONS AND ASSISTANCE
A. Current Framework for Victims Participation in the Ro	me Statute System, and its Functioning B. Judicial Matters Related to Reparations
 B. 1. General (Judicial) Principles on Reparations 2. Specialised Reparations Chamber 3. Non-Stay of Reparation Proceedings 4. Individual Requests for Reparations 5. Registry-Led Victim Application X Process 6. New Potential Beneficiary Requests and Information 7. Reparations Experts 8. Mutually Agreed Protocols 9. Chambers Oversight Role in Implementation 	Some recommendations refer expressly to role for the ASP i.e. recs 344 and 352. Others are primarily for the Court i.e. recs 346, 350 and 351.

C. The TFV and its Secretariat: Governance and Functioning			
1. Delivery of Mandate 2.			Recommendation 354 is a major proposal where discussion with
Governance, Oversight and	R357	Х	States Parties will be key. Some of the other recommendations
Management			are more granular and for the TFV to take forward i.e. rec 355.

EG	XIX. OVERSIGHT BODIES
	A. ASP - Court Relations
	X

X ASP lead on rec 368	B. Internal and External Oversight Mechanisms		
	Х		

C. Secretariat of the ASP		
Х	ASP primarily responsible but worth seeking input from others.	

XX. IMPROVEMENT OF THE SYSTEM OF NOMINATION OF JUDGES		
Х		

XXI. DEVELOPMENT OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND EVIDENCE		
Х		

RI	RESOLUTION ICC-ASP/18/Res.7
RI OP 18	(a) Strengthening, cooperation (b) Non- cooperation, (c) Complementar ity, and the relationship between national
	jurisdictions and the Court, (d) Equitable geographical

Appendix II, para 5	the Prosecutor, implementatio n of arrest warrants and reviewing Assembly working			
---------------------	---	--	--	--

	KEY
смм	Court-wide
	Matters
	Organ Specific
OSM: C	Matters:
	Chambers
	Organ Specific
OSM: OTP	Matters: Office
	of the
OSM: R	Organ Specific
	Matters:
EG	External
	Governance
RI	lasuas