# **Resolution ICC-ASP/12/Res.7**

Adopted at the 12th plenary meeting, on 27 November 2013, by consensus

### ICC-ASP/12/Res.7

#### Amendments to the Rules of Procedure and Evidence

The Assembly of States Parties,

Recalling the need to conduct a structured dialogue between States Parties and the Court with a view to strengthening the institutional framework of the Rome Statute system and enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of the Court while fully preserving its judicial independence, and *inviting* the organs of the Court to continue engaging in such a dialogue with States Parties,

*Recognizing* that enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of the Court is of common interest both for the Assembly of States Parties and the Court,

*Commending*, in this regard, the judges of the Court, acting pursuant to article 51, paragraph 2 (b), of the Rome Statute,

*Noting* the report of the Working Group on Amendments<sup>1</sup> and the report of the Bureau on the Study Group on Governance,<sup>2</sup>

1. Decides that the following shall replace rule 100 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence:<sup>3</sup>

#### "Rule 100

## Place of proceedings

- 1. In a particular case, where the Court considers that it would be in the interests of justice, it may decide to sit in a State other than the host State, for such period or periods as may be required, to hear the case in whole or in part.
- 2. The Chamber, at any time after the initiation of an investigation, may *proprio motu* or at the request of the Prosecutor or the defence, decide to make a recommendation changing the place where the Chamber sits. The judges of the Chamber shall attempt to achieve unanimity in their recommendation, failing which the recommendation shall be made by a majority of the judges. Such a recommendation shall take account of the views of the parties, of the victims and an assessment prepared by the Registry and shall be addressed to the Presidency. It shall be made in writing and specify in which State the Chamber would sit. The assessment prepared by the Registry shall be annexed to the recommendation.
- 3. The Presidency shall consult the State where the Chamber intends to sit. If that State agrees that the Chamber can sit in that State, then the decision to sit in a State other than the host State shall be taken by the Presidency in consultation with the Chamber. Thereafter, the Chamber or any designated Judge shall sit at the location decided upon."
- 2. Further decides that the following shall replace rule 68 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, emphasizing article 51, paragraph 4, of the Rome Statute according to which amendments to the Rules of Procedure and Evidence shall not be applied retroactively to the detriment of the person who is being investigated or prosecuted, with the understanding that the rule as amended is without prejudice to article 67 of the Rome Statute related to the rights of the accused, and to article 68, paragraph 3, of the Rome Statute related to the protection of the victims and witnesses and their participation in the proceedings:

4 Ibid

20-E-060514 51

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ICC-ASP/12/44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ICC-ASP/12/37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Official Records ... First session ... 2002 (ICC-ASP/1/3 and Corr.1), part II.A.

#### "Rule 68

#### Prior recorded testimony

- 1. When the Pre-Trial Chamber has not taken measures under article 56, the Trial Chamber may, in accordance with article 69, paragraphs 2 and 4, and after hearing the parties, allow the introduction of previously recorded audio or video testimony of a witness, or the transcript or other documented evidence of such testimony, provided that this would not be prejudicial to or inconsistent with the rights of the accused and that the requirements of one or more of the following sub-rules are met.
- 2. If the witness who gave the previously recorded testimony is not present before the Trial Chamber, the Chamber may allow the introduction of that previously recorded testimony in any one of the following instances:
- (a) Both the Prosecutor and the defence had the opportunity to examine the witness during the recording.
- (b) The prior recorded testimony goes to proof of a matter other than the acts and conduct of the accused. In such a case:
  - (i) In determining whether introduction of prior recorded testimony falling under sub-rule (b) may be allowed, the Chamber shall consider, *inter alia*, whether the prior recorded testimony in question:
    - relates to issues that are not materially in dispute;
  - is of a cumulative or corroborative nature, in that other witnesses will give or have given oral testimony of similar facts;
    - relates to background information;
  - is such that the interests of justice are best served by its introduction; and
    - has sufficient indicia of reliability.
  - (ii) Prior recorded testimony falling under sub-rule (b) may only be introduced if it is accompanied by a declaration by the testifying person that the contents of the prior recorded testimony are true and correct to the best of that person's knowledge and belief. Accompanying declarations may not contain any new information and must be made reasonably close in time to when the prior recorded testimony is being submitted.
  - (iii) Accompanying declarations must be witnessed by a person authorized to witness such a declaration by the relevant Chamber or in accordance with the law and procedure of a State. The person witnessing the declaration must verify in writing the date and place of the declaration, and that the person making the declaration:
    - is the person identified in the prior recorded testimony;
  - assures that he or she is making the declaration voluntarily and without undue influence;
  - states that the contents of the prior recorded testimony are, to the best of that person's knowledge and belief, true and correct; and
  - was informed that if the contents of the prior recorded testimony are not true then he or she may be subject to proceedings for having given false testimony.
- (c) The prior recorded testimony comes from a person who has subsequently died, must be presumed dead, or is, due to obstacles that cannot be overcome with reasonable diligence, unavailable to testify orally. In such a case:

**52** 20-E- 060514

- (i) Prior recorded testimony falling under sub-rule (c) may only be introduced if the Chamber is satisfied that the person is unavailable as set out above, that the necessity of measures under article 56 could not be anticipated, and that the prior recorded testimony has sufficient indicia of reliability.
- (ii) The fact that the prior recorded testimony goes to proof of acts and conduct of an accused may be a factor against its introduction, or part of it.
- (d) The prior recorded testimony comes from a person who has been subjected to interference. In such a case:
  - (i) Prior recorded testimony falling under sub-rule (d) may only be introduced if the Chamber is satisfied that:
  - the person has failed to attend as a witness or, having attended, has failed to give evidence with respect to a material aspect included in his or her prior recorded testimony;
  - the failure of the person to attend or to give evidence has been materially influenced by improper interference, including threats, intimidation, or coercion;
  - reasonable efforts have been made to secure the attendance of the person as a witness or, if in attendance, to secure from the witness all material facts known to the witness;
  - the interests of justice are best served by the prior recorded testimony being introduced; and
  - the prior recorded testimony has sufficient indicia of reliability.
  - (ii) For the purposes of sub-rule (d)(i), an improper interference may relate, *inter alia*, to the physical, psychological, economic or other interests of the person.
  - (iii) When prior recorded testimony submitted under sub-rule (d)(i) relates to completed proceedings for offences defined in article 70, the Chamber may consider adjudicated facts from these proceedings in its assessment.
  - (iv) The fact that the prior recorded testimony goes to proof of acts and conduct of an accused may be a factor against its introduction, or part of it.
- 3. If the witness who gave the previously recorded testimony is present before the Trial Chamber, the Chamber may allow the introduction of that previously recorded testimony if he or she does not object to the submission of the previously recorded testimony and the Prosecutor, the defence and the Chamber have the opportunity to examine the witness during the proceedings."
- 3. *Further decides* that the following should be inserted after rule 134 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence:

### "Rule 134 bis

### Presence through the use of video technology

- 1. An accused subject to a summons to appear may submit a written request to the Trial Chamber to be allowed to be present through the use of video technology during part or parts of his or her trial.
- 2. The Trial Chamber shall rule on the request on a case-by-case basis, with due regard to the subject matter of the specific hearings in question.

20-E-060514 53

#### Rule 134 ter

#### Excusal from presence at trial

- 1. An accused subject to a summons to appear may submit a written request to the Trial Chamber to be excused and to be represented by counsel only during part or parts of his or her trial.
- 2. The Trial Chamber shall only grant the request if it is satisfied that:
  - (a) exceptional circumstances exist to justify such an absence;
- (b) alternative measures, including changes to the trial schedule or a short adjournment of the trial, would be inadequate;
- (c) the accused has explicitly waived his or her right to be present at the trial; and
- (d) the rights of the accused will be fully ensured in his or her absence.
- 3. The Trial Chamber shall rule on the request on a case-by-case basis, with due regard to the subject matter of the specific hearings in question. Any absence must be limited to what is strictly necessary and must not become the rule.

### Rule 134 quater

## Excusal from presence at trial due to extraordinary public duties

- 1. An accused subject to a summons to appear who is mandated to fulfill extraordinary public duties at the highest national level may submit a written request to the Trial Chamber to be excused and to be represented by counsel only; the request must specify that the accused explicitly waives the right to be present at the trial.
- 2. The Trial Chamber shall consider the request expeditiously and, if alternative measures are inadequate, shall grant the request where it determines that it is in the interests of justice and provided that the rights of the accused are fully ensured. The decision shall be taken with due regard to the subject matter of the specific hearings in question and is subject to review at any time."

54 20-E- 060514