International Criminal Court ICC-ASP/25/26

Assembly of States Parties

Distr.: General
5 November 2026
Original: English

Twenty-fifth session
The Hague, 30 November – 7 December 2026

Report of the Bureau on non-cooperation

Contents

I. Introduction....2
II. Organization of work and main issues considered....4
A. Court proceedings and findings: States Parties....5
B. Court proceedings and findings: States under an obligation to cooperate with the Court pursuant to a decision of the United Nations Security Council....6
C. Court proceedings and findings: States not Parties....6
D. Actions undertaken by the President of the Assembly and the Bureau, States Parties and other stakeholders....6
E. The United Nations Security Council....7
F. Consultations on non-cooperation....7
III. Recommendations....8
Annex I - Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation....11
Annex II - Toolkit for the implementation of the informal dimension of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation....11

I. Introduction

1. Article 112, paragraph 2(f), of the Rome Statute provides that "the Assembly shall consider pursuant to article 87, paragraphs 5 and 7, any question relating to non-cooperation."

2. At its tenth session, the Assembly of States Parties ("the Assembly") adopted the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation ("the Procedures").[1] At its subsequent sessions the Assembly approved mandates with regard to non-cooperation and requested the Bureau to submit reports on the implementation of the Procedures. At its seventeenth session, the Assembly adopted the revised Procedures and approved mandates accordingly with its request for the Bureau to submit reports on the implementation of the revised Procedures.[2] The present report is submitted pursuant to the mandate approved at the twenty-fourth session of the Assembly.[3]

3. In operative paragraph 34 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly "*[r]ecall[ed]* the Procedures relating to non-cooperation adopted by the Assembly in ICC-ASP/10/Res.5 and revised by the Assembly in resolution ICC-ASP/17/Res.5, *recognize[d] with concern* the negative impact that the non-execution of Court requests continue[d] to have on the ability of the Court to execute its mandate, and *[took] note* of the past decisions of the Court on non-cooperation".[4]

4. In operative paragraph 35 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly "*[a]lso recall[ed]* the Toolkit for the implementation of the informal dimension of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation, which [had been] revised as annex III to ICC-ASP/17/31 and *encourage[d]* States Parties to make use of it as they [saw] fit in order to improve the implementation of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation".[5]

5. In operative paragraph 36 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly "*[took] note* of the report of the Bureau on non-cooperation, *welcome[d]* the efforts of the President of the Assembly in implementing the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation and *recall[ed]* that the President serves ex officio as focal point for his or her region, *call[ed] upon* all stakeholders, at all levels, to continue assisting the President of the Assembly, including when accomplishing his or her task with the support of the regional focal points for non-cooperation".[6]

6. In operative paragraph 37 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly also "*[took] note* of the 24 July 2025 finding on the non-compliance by Hungary of the Pre-Trial Chamber I in the situation in the State of Palestine".[7]

7. In operative paragraph 38 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly "*[u]rge[d]* States Parties to engage in good faith in timely, effective consultations with the Court in accordance with article 97 of the Rome Statute, with a view to resolving problems which may impede or prevent the execution of the Court's requests under Part 9 of the Rome Statute".[8]

8. In operative paragraph 39 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly "*[w]elcome[d]* the first plenary session on non-cooperation held during the twenty-fourth session of the Assembly of States Parties, which [had] offered an opportunity for consideration of non-cooperation issues arising during the inter-sessional period and dialogue between States Parties, the Court and civil society, with a particular focus on prevention of non-compliance through timely and effective

[1] ICC-ASP/10/Res.5, para. 9 and annex, amended via ICC-ASP/11/Res.8, para. 10 and annex I.
[2] ICC-ASP/17/Res.5, para. 31 and annex II.
[3] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, annex I, paras. 3(m)-(o).
[4] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 34.
[5] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 35.
[6] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 36.
[7] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 37.
[8] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 38.

consultations in accordance with article 97, and the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation".[9]

9. In operative paragraph 40 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly "*[r]ecall[ed]* the role of the Assembly of States Parties and the Security Council with respect to non-cooperation as provided for by articles 87, paragraph 5, and 87, paragraph 7, of the Rome Statute, and *welcome[d]* the efforts of States Parties to strengthen the relationship between the Court and the Council".[10]

10. In operative paragraph 41 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly "*[c]all[ed] upon* States Parties to continue their efforts to ensure that the Security Council addresses the communications received from the Court on non-cooperation pursuant to the Rome Statute, *encourage[d]* the President of the Assembly and the Bureau to continue consulting with the Security Council and *also encourage[d]* both the Assembly and the Security Council to strengthen their mutual engagement on this matter".[11]

11. In operative paragraph 42 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly "*[e]ncourage[d]* the authorities in Sudan to effectively cooperate with the Court in accordance with Security Council resolution 1593 (2005), while *expressing continued concern* about the military conflict that erupted in Sudan on 15 April 2023".[12]

12. In operative paragraph 43 of resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, the Assembly "*[n]oting* the past orders of the Pre-Trial Chamber to the Registrar concerning action to be taken in case of information relating to travel of suspects, *urge[d]* States to share with the focal points on non-cooperation any information concerning potential or confirmed travel of persons against whom an arrest warrant has been issued.[13]

13. In relation to the situation in Ukraine, Pre-Trial Chamber II was seized of a request from a State Party in the context of consultations pursuant to article 97 of the Rome Statute, in relation to the avenues available to States Parties to allow the organisation of peace talks on their territory involving a person subject to a warrant of arrest issued by the Court. On 9 June 2026, the Chamber issued a "Public redacted version of 'Response to submissions of a State Party under article 97 of the Rome Statute'".[14]

14. At its twenty-fourth session, the Assembly "*[r]equest[ed]* the President of the Assembly to continue to engage actively and constructively with all relevant stakeholders in accordance with the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation, both to prevent instances of non-cooperation and to follow up on any matter of non-cooperation referred by the Court to the Assembly".[15] The Assembly also "*[r]equest[ed]* that any information concerning potential or confirmed travel of persons against whom an arrest warrant has been issued be promptly shared with the Court by the focal points on non-cooperation".[16] The Assembly further "*[r]equest[ed]* the Bureau to continue to actively engage throughout the intersessional period with all relevant stakeholders to continue to ensure effective implementation of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation and to submit a report on its activities to the Assembly at its twenty-fifth session".[17]

15. Paragraph 17 of the Procedures on non-cooperation calls for the appointment of four or, if so, requested by the President of the Assembly, five focal points from among all States Parties, on

[9] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 39.
[10] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 40.
[11] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 41.
[12] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 42.
[13] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, para. 43.
[14] ICC-01/22-146-Red.
[15] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, annex I, para. 3(m).
[16] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, annex I, para. 3(n).
[17] ICC-ASP/24/Res.6, annex I, para. 3(o).

the basis of equitable geographical representation; the President serves ex officio as focal point for her own region.[18]

16. At its first meeting on 14 January 2026, the Bureau adopted the Allocation of Mandates, assigning the mandate on non-cooperation to the *ad country* focal points, based in New York[19]. At its fourth meeting on 4 March 2026[20], the Bureau confirmed that Mexico (Group of Latin American and Caribbean States) and Sierra Leone (Group of African States), appointed at the Bureau meeting held on 6 March 2024[21], and Poland (Group of Eastern European States), appointed by the Bureau on 1 May 2024[22], remain under this mandate and took note that consultations were ongoing to identify a nominee for the *ad country* focal point on non-cooperation for the Group of Asia-Pacific States. The President of the Assembly, Ms Päivi Kaukoranta of Finland, continues to serve ex officio as focal point for her region (Group of Western European and other States). The present report covers activities during the inter-sessional period between the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth sessions of the Assembly.

17. The focal points on non-cooperation recall the provisions of the Rome Statute, the Declaration on Cooperation (RC/Dec.2) agreed by States Parties at the Review Conference in Kampala and previous resolutions and declarations of the Assembly of States Parties with regard to cooperation and reiterate the obligation of States Parties to cooperate with the Court. The focal points also recall that the Rome Statute, in article 112(2)(f), provides that the Assembly shall consider any question relating to non-cooperation, and pursuant to article 112(2)(g), entrusts the Assembly to "[p]erform any other function consistent with this Statute or the Rules of Procedure and Evidence".

18. The focal points on non-cooperation also recall the 2013 UN guidance on contacts with persons who are subject to arrest warrants or summons to appear issued by the International Criminal Court,[23] as well as the Assembly resolution ICC-ASP/24/Res.5, which "*[u]rge[d]* States Parties to avoid contact with persons subject to a warrant of arrest issued by the Court, unless such contact is deemed essential by the State Party, *welcome[d]* the efforts of States and international and regional organizations in this regard, and *acknowledge[d]* that States Parties may, on a voluntary basis, advise the Court of their own contacts with persons subject to a warrant of arrest made as a result of such an assessment".[24]

19. The focal points on non-cooperation also recall that recommendations 284, 286 and 289 of the Independent Expert Review (IER) were allocated to the non-cooperation focal points, in conjunction with the cooperation facilitation (recommendations 284 and 289), the Office of the Prosecutor (recommendations 286 and 289) and the Registry (recommendation 289). Following the positive assessment and implementation of the recommendations, the focal points look forward to continuing on-going efforts to implement recommendations R284, R286 and R289 in cooperation with other relevant stakeholders.

II. Organization of work and main issues considered

20. The facilitation is led by the *ad country* focal points composed of, as required by paragraph 17 of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation, four, or, if so requested by the President of the Assembly, five focal points from among all States Parties, on the basis of the principle of equitable geographical representation; the President serves ex officio as focal point for her own region and the focal points assist the President in her good offices in discharge of the mandate.[25]

[18] ICC-ASP/17/Res.5, annex II, para. 17.
[19] Decision of the Bureau of the Assembly of States Parties, 14 January 2026.
[20] Decision of the Bureau of the Assembly of States Parties, 4 March 2026.
[21] Decision of the Bureau of the Assembly of States Parties, 6 March 2024.
[22] Decision of the Bureau of the Assembly of States Parties, 8 May 2024.
[23] A/67/828–S/2013/210.
[24] ICC-ASP/24/Res.5, para.8.
[25] ICC-ASP/17/Res.5, annex II, para. 17.

A. Court proceedings and findings: States Parties

21. Pursuant to article 86 of the Rome Statute, States Parties shall, in accordance with the provisions of the Statute, cooperate fully with the Court in its investigation and prosecution of crimes within the jurisdiction of the Court. Pursuant to article 89, States Parties are obliged to execute the Court's pending orders for the arrest and surrender of a person.

22. Pursuant to article 87, paragraph 7, of the Rome Statute, where a State Party fails to comply with a request to cooperate by the Court contrary to the provisions of the Statute, thereby preventing the Court from exercising its functions and powers under the Statute, the Court may make a finding to that effect and refer the matter to the Assembly of States Parties.[26]

23. In relation to the situation in Libya, the Pre-Trial Chamber I was seized, under article 87, paragraph 7, of the Rome Statute, with the question of whether Italy had failed to comply with the Court's request for arrest and surrender of Osama Elmasry Njeem, contrary to the provisions of the Statute.

24. On 17 October 2025, Pre-Trial Chamber I issued a "Decision on Italy's non-compliance with a request for cooperation".[27] The Chamber, by majority, deferred its determination as to whether the matter of Italy's non-compliance with the request for arrest and surrender of Mr Njeem should be referred to the Assembly of States Parties or to the United Nations Security Council. The Chamber invited Italy to provide information on any domestic proceedings relevant to the case, and an indication of the impact that these proceedings could have on Italy's future cooperation with the Court in the execution of cooperation requests for the arrest and surrender of suspects, by 31 October 2025. The Decision was accompanied by a Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge María del Socorro Flores Liera[28]. Italy's response to the Pre-Trial Chamber's invitation to provide information on relevant domestic proceedings was submitted on 31 October 2025.[29]

25. On 26 January 2026, Pre-Trial Chamber I, by majority, issued a "Decision to refer Italy's non-compliance with a request for cooperation to the Assembly of States Parties"[30]. The Decision was accompanied by a Concurring Opinion of Judge Maria del Socorro Flores Liera[31] and a Dissenting Opinion of Judge Iulia Antoanella Motoc[32].

26. In accordance with the Pre-Trial Chamber I's finding under article 87, paragraph 7, of the Rome Statute on the non-compliance by Italy, and pursuant to article 87, paragraph 7, of the Rome Statute and regulation 109(4) of the Regulations of the Court, the Presidency of the Court transmitted the decision and referred the matter to the Assembly of States Parties on 29 January 2026.

27. In relation to the situation in Ukraine, the Pre-Trial Chamber II was seized, under article 87, paragraph 7, of the Rome Statute, with the question of whether Tajikistan had failed to comply with the Court's request for arrest and surrender of Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin, contrary to the provisions of the Statute.

28. On 19 March 2026, Pre-Trial Chamber II issued a "Finding under article 87(7) of the Rome Statute on the non-compliance by Tajikistan with the request by the Court to cooperate

[26] Art. 87(7) of the Rome Statute. See also Art. 87(5) of the Rome Statute.
[27] ICC-01/11-209.
[28] ICC-01/11-209-OPI.
[29] ICC-01/11-211-Anx.
[30] ICC-01/11-224.
[31] ICC-01/11-224-OPI.
[32] ICC-01/11-224-OPI2.

in the arrest and surrender of Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin and referral to the Assembly of States Parties".[33]

29. In accordance with the Pre-Trial Chamber II's finding under article 87, paragraph 7, of the Rome Statute on the non-compliance by Tajikistan, and pursuant to article 87, paragraph 7, of the Rome Statute and regulation 109(4) of the Regulations of the Court, the Presidency of the Court transmitted the decision and referred the matter to the Assembly of States Parties.

B. Court proceedings and findings: States under an obligation to cooperate with the Court pursuant to a decision of the United Nations Security Council

30. Pursuant to Security Council resolution 1593 (2005), "the Government of Sudan and all other parties to the conflict in Darfur, shall cooperate fully with and provide any necessary assistance to the Court and the Prosecutor".[34]

31. Pursuant to Security Council resolution 1970 (2011)[35], "the Libyan authorities shall cooperate fully with and provide any necessary assistance to the Court and the Prosecutor".

32. No Court proceedings concerning non-cooperation took place in relation to States under an obligation to cooperate with the Court pursuant to a decision of the United Nations Security Council.

C. Court proceedings and findings: States not Parties

33. While States not party to the Rome Statute have no obligation under it, pursuant to Security Council resolutions 1593 (2005) and 1970 (2011), all States and concerned regional and other international organizations are urged to fully cooperate with the Court and the Prosecutor.

34. No Court proceedings concerning non-cooperation took place regarding States not Parties to the Statute.

D. Actions undertaken by the President of the Assembly and the Bureau, States Parties and other stakeholders

35. Throughout the year, the President of the Assembly recalled the importance for States to spare no effort in executing the arrest warrants issued by the Court, and the importance of avoiding non-essential contacts.

36. On 1 April 2026, the Bureau considered the 26 January 2026 decision of Pre-Trial Chamber I regarding Italy's non-cooperation in the situation in Libya. Pursuant to paragraph 14(c) of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation, a representative of Italy attended to present his government's view. The Bureau took note of Italy's statement and intention to engage in a technical dialogue with the Registry.

37. Pursuant to paragraph 13 of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation, the President of the Assembly of States Parties informed the general public of the Court's decision by way of a press release issued on 2 April 2026.

38. On 6 May 2026, the Bureau considered the 19 March 2026 decision of Pre-Trial Chamber II regarding Tajikistan's non-cooperation in the situation in Ukraine. Pursuant to paragraph 14(c) of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation, a representative of Tajikistan attended to present his government's view. The Bureau took note of Tajikistan's statement.

[33] ICC-01/22-143.
[34] S/RES/1593 (2005), para. 2.
[35] S/RES/1970 (2011), para. 5.

39. Pursuant to paragraph 13 of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation, the President of the Assembly of States Parties informed the general public of the Court's decision by way of a press release issued on 7 May 2026.

40. In support of the implementation of the obligations under the Rome Statute, the focal points continue to welcome information concerning possible international travel by persons subject to warrants of arrest issued by the Court, in order to share it with the Court and to take other appropriate measures as necessary.

41. The focal points agreed to enhance the sharing among States Parties of information relating to non-cooperation issues, such as the Court's decisions, press releases informing the general public of such decisions and statements by the President of the Assembly.

42. In the days leading up to the United Nations General Assembly High-level Week 2026, the focal points on non-cooperation issued a message recalling Assembly resolution ICC/24/Res.5, which urges States Parties to avoid contact with persons subject to a warrant of arrest issued by the Court, unless such contact is deemed essential by the State Party[36].

43. The focal points on non-cooperation continue to monitor and share information regarding specific instances of potential non-cooperation. The focal points continue to meet informally, including with different stakeholders.

E. The United Nations Security Council

44. During the reporting period, Deputy Prosecutor Khan presented the forty-second and forty-third reports to the Security Council pursuant to resolution 1593 (2005) on 19 January 2026 and 15 July 2026, respectively. While reporting on the first conviction in the Darfur situation and on cooperation in support of the investigative activities, the Deputy Prosecutor called for intensified efforts to locate, arrest and surrender suspects subject to outstanding arrest warrants.[37] The Deputy Prosecutor reiterated that arrest and surrender remain essential obligations arising from resolution 1593 (2005) and stressed that accountability cannot be fully achieved while indicted suspects remain outside the Court's custody.[38]

45. Deputy Prosecutor Khan presented the thirtieth and thirty-first reports to the Security Council pursuant to resolution 1970 (2011) on 25 November 2025 and 22 May 2026, respectively. The Deputy Prosecutor reported on arrests made and the unsealing of an arrest warrant. The Deputy Prosecutor also referred to the declaration under article 12(3) of the Rome Statute, submitted by Libya on 12 May 2025, accepting the Court's jurisdiction over all article 5 crimes committed in Libya from 2011 through the end of 2027. This development marked a new era of cooperation with the Libyan authorities.[39] The Deputy Prosecutor further highlighted the first surrender of a suspect to the Court in the Libya situation and the commencement of pre-trial proceedings since the Security Council referral, and reiterated the obligations to cooperate with the Court, in particular with respect to requests for arrest and surrender.[40]

F. Consultations on non-cooperation

46. Pursuant to the mandate of the Bureau, the focal points on non-cooperation engaged in consultations with relevant stakeholders in order to ensure the effective implementation of the Procedures and to submit a report on their activities to the Assembly at its twenty-fifth session.

[36] ICC-ASP/24/Res.5, para. 8.
[37] See Forty-second Report of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court to the United Nations Security Council pursuant to Resolution 1593 (2005).
[38] See Forty-third Report of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court to the United Nations Security Council pursuant to Resolution 1593 (2005).
[39] See Thirtieth Report of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court to the United Nations Security Council pursuant to Resolution 1970 (2011).
[40] See Thirty-first Report of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court to the United Nations Security Council pursuant to Resolution 1970 (2011).

47. The focal points held a strategic meeting on 8 April 2026 to discuss and agree on their programme of work for 2026. They provided an update on their work and on relevant recent developments to the New York Working Group on 16 April 2026. On 7 May 2026, the focal points met with civil society partners to discuss issues pertaining to their mandate. The focal points held a coordination meeting with the President of the Assembly on 28 May 2026 to discuss the agenda item on non-cooperation and its format in the context of planning for the plenary meeting of the twenty-fifth session, the Assembly procedures and the Toolkit, as well as possible Assembly guidance on non-essential contacts.

48. On 1 September 2026, the President of the Assembly of States Parties initiated and chaired a coordination meeting with the focal points on non-cooperation as well as representatives of the Registry and the Office of the Prosecutor, to discuss the agenda item on non-cooperation and its format in the context of the planning of the plenary meeting of the twenty-fifth session. The second plenary session on non-cooperation, to be held during the twenty-fifth session of the Assembly of States Parties in the format of a panel discussion followed by interventions from the floor will provide an opportunity to consider non-cooperation issues arising during the inter-sessional period and to focus on the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation and the Toolkit for the implementation of the informal dimension of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation, with a view to reflecting on their content and implementation, including in light of lessons learned from recent practice.

III. Recommendations

1. The focal points recommend that the Assembly take note of the present report and adopt the proposed language concerning mandates on non-cooperation that is contained in annex I to this report.

2. The focal points consider that they and the President of the Assembly should continue to engage in any necessary measures that ensure knowledge, understanding and implementation of measures by States Parties and the Assembly, to prevent instances of non-cooperation.

3. With respect to the application of the Procedures relating to non-cooperation, the Assembly should request the Bureau, including the President and the focal points, to implement the Procedures consistently.

4. Additionally, during the inter-sessional period, the focal points will continue consultations on means to strengthen the application of the Procedures and the Toolkit, including through possible updates to their content.

5. The focal points should continue to monitor judicial developments as well as travels of persons against whom warrants of arrest have been issued with the assistance of States Parties, and promptly inform the Court of any relevant information.

6. The focal points consider that the Court should continue to provide up-to-date information to the Assembly on judicial developments related to non-cooperation via the President and the focal points.

7. The focal points further recommend that States Parties continue efforts to prevent or to address instances of non-cooperation and inform them of any measures undertaken.

8. The focal points should continue engaging in consultations for the eventual elaboration of ASP guidance on avoiding non-essential contacts with persons subject to a warrant of arrest issued by the Court.

9. Accordingly, the focal points propose the following text for inclusion in the *omnibus* resolution:

Operative paragraphs on non-cooperation

34. *Recalls* the Procedures relating to non-cooperation adopted by the Assembly in ICC-ASP/10/Res.5 and revised by the Assembly in resolution ICC-ASP/17/Res.5, *recognizes with concern* the negative impact that the non-execution of Court requests continues to have on the ability of the Court to execute its mandate, and *takes note* of the past decisions of the Court on non-cooperation;

35. *Also recalls* the Toolkit for the implementation of the informal dimension of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation,[41] which was revised as annex III to ICC-ASP/17/31, and *encourages* States Parties to make use of it as they see fit in order to improve the implementation of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation;

36. *Takes note* of the report of the Bureau on non-cooperation,[42] *welcomes* the efforts of the President of the Assembly in implementing the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation and *recalls* that the President serves ex officio as focal point for his or her region,[43] *calls upon* all stakeholders, at all levels, to continue assisting the President of the Assembly, including when accomplishing his or her task with the support of the regional focal points **on** non-cooperation;

~~37. Takes note of the 24 July 2025 finding on the non-compliance by Hungary of the Pre-Trial Chamber I in the situation in the State of Palestine;~~[44]

37. ***Takes note* of the 26 January 2026 referral by the Pre-Trial Chamber I to the Assembly concerning non-compliance by Italy in the situation in Libya;**[45]

38. ***Takes note* of the 19 March 2026 finding on the non-compliance by Tajikistan of the Pre-Trial Chamber II in the situation in Ukraine**[46] **and of the referral to the Assembly;**

~~38~~ **39.** *Urges* States Parties to engage in good faith in timely, effective consultations with the Court in accordance with article 97 of the Rome Statute, with a view to resolving problems which may impede or prevent the execution of the Court's requests under Part 9 of the Rome Statute **and *takes note* of the 9 June 2026 ~~decision~~ response of Pre-Trial Chamber II in the situation in Ukraine**[47];

40. [Placeholder for text based on ASP non-cooperation plenary debate].

~~40~~ **41**. *Recalls* the role of the Assembly of States Parties and the Security Council with respect to non-cooperation as provided for by articles 87, paragraph 5, and 87, paragraph 7, of the Rome Statute, and *welcomes* the efforts of States Parties to strengthen the relationship between the Court and the Council;

~~41~~ **42**. *Calls upon* States Parties to continue their efforts to ensure that the Security Council addresses the communications received from the Court on non-cooperation pursuant to the Rome Statute, *encourages* the President of the Assembly and the Bureau to continue consulting with the Security Council and *also encourages* both the Assembly and the Security Council to strengthen their mutual engagement on this matter;

[41] ICC-ASP/15/31, Add.1, annex II.
[42] ICC-ASP/25/26.
[43] ICC-ASP/11/29, para. 12.
[44] ~~ICC-01/18-462, of 24 July 2025.~~
[45] ICC-01/11-224**.**
[46] ICC-01/22-143.
[47] ICC-01/22-146-Red.

~~42~~ **43**. *Encourages* the authorities in Sudan to effectively cooperate with the Court in accordance with Security Council resolution 1593 (2005), while expressing continued concern about the military conflict that erupted in the Sudan on 15 April 2023;

~~43~~ **44**. *Noting* the past orders of the Pre-Trial Chamber to the Registrar concerning action to be taken in case of information relating to travel of suspects, **including reminders of applicable cooperation obligations,** *urges* States to share with the focal points on non-cooperation any information concerning potential or confirmed travel of persons against whom an arrest warrant has been issued;

Annex I: Mandates of the Assembly of States Parties for the intersessional period

3. With regard to **non-cooperation,**

(m) *requests* the President of the Assembly to continue to engage actively and constructively with all relevant stakeholders in accordance with the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation, both to prevent instances of non-cooperation and to follow up on any matter of non-cooperation referred by the Court to the Assembly;

(n) *requests* that any information concerning potential or confirmed travel of persons against whom an arrest warrant has been issued be promptly shared with the Court by the focal points on non-cooperation; and

(o) *requests* the Bureau to continue to actively engage throughout the intersessional period with all relevant stakeholders to continue to ensure effective implementation of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation and to submit a report on its activities to the Assembly at its twenty-~~fifth~~**sixth** session;

Annex I

Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation.

The Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation to address and respond to the failure by any State Party, or another State required to comply with a specific Court request for cooperation, can be found in annex II of resolution ICC-ASP/17/Res.5 at:

https://asp.icc-cpi.int/sites/asp/files/asp_docs/ASP17/RES-5-ENG.pdf.

Annex II

Toolkit for the implementation of the informal dimension of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation.

The toolkit for the implementation of the informal dimension of the Assembly procedures relating to non-cooperation has been developed by the non-cooperation focal points as a resource for States Parties to improve the implementation of the informal measures of the procedures on non-cooperation. Its text can be found in annex III of the report of the Bureau on non-cooperation (ICC-ASP/17/31) at:

https://asp.icc-cpi.int/sites/asp/files/asp_docs/ASP17/ICC-ASP-17-31-ENG.pdf#page=14.